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In situ study at high pressure and temperature of the environment of water in hydrous Na and Ca aluminosilicate melts and coexisting aqueous fluids
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Abstract The bonding and speciation of water dissolved in Na silicate and Na and Ca aluminosilicate melts were inferred from in situ Raman spectroscopy of the samples, in hydrothermal diamond anvil cells, while at crustal temperature and pressure conditions. Raman data were also acquired on Na silicate and Na and Ca aluminosilicate glasses, quenched from hydrous melts equilibrated at high temperature and pressure in a piston cylinder apparatus. In the hydrous melts, temperature strongly influences O-H stretching ν(O-H) signals, reflecting its control on the bonding of protons between different molecular complexes. Pressure and melt composition effects are much smaller and difficult to discriminate with the present data. However, the chemical composition of the melt + fluid system influences the differences between the ν(O-H) signals from the melts and the fluids and, hence, between their hydrogen partition functions. Quenching modifies the O-H stretching signals: strong hydrogen bonds form in the glasses below the glass transition temperature Tg, and this phenomenon depends on glass composition. Therefore, glasses do not necessarily record the O-H stretching signal shape in melts near Tg. The melt hydrogen partition function thus cannot be assessed with certainty using O-H stretching vibration data from glasses. From the present results, the ratio of the hydrogen partition functions of hydrous silicate melts and aqueous fluids mostly depends on temperature and the bulk melt + fluid system chemical composition. This implies that the fractionation of hydrogen isotopes between magmas and aqueous fluids in water-saturated magmatic systems with differences in temperature and bulk chemical composition will be different.

Plain Language Summary Water is the main gas released during volcanic eruptions. At depth, prior to eruption, it is dissolved in magmas (also called silicate melts) as water molecules or hydrogen ions bonded to the silicate molecules that build the molecular backbone of magmas. This greatly affects the silicate melt properties and, in turn, determines the behavior of volcanic eruptions at surface or the mobility of magmas in the Earth crust. In this regard, it is important to know how water reacts with the molecules constituting silicate melts. In this study, we looked at how water dissolves in silicate melts as a function of temperature, pressure, and melt chemical composition. Results show that the molecular environment of water mostly is affected by temperature. The chemical composition of the aqueous fluid-silicate melt bulk system further influences the solubility of the two phases in each other and, hence, the differences between the water environment in the two phases. This, in turn, may affect the partitioning of hydrogen isotopes between magmas and aqueous fluids in the Earth crust and, hence, our use of hydrogen isotopes to study magmatic systems.

1. Introduction

The study of water in silicate melts is of fundamental importance to understand various geologic processes, as water exerts a profound influence on the properties of silicate melts, as for instance, liquidus temperatures [Kushiro et al., 1968a, 1968b; Kushiro, 1969], density and buoyancy [Richet and Polian, 1998; Ochs and Lange, 1999; Richet et al., 2000; Malfait et al., 2014], and viscosity [Leonteva, 1940; Saucier, 1952; Shaw, 1963; Richet et al., 1996; Dingwell et al., 1996]. However, the environment of protons is still not well known in hydrous melts. Extending the knowledge acquired through Raman, NMR, and IR spectroscopic studies of hydrous glasses [Scholze, 1960; Bartholomew et al., 1980; Mysen et al., 1980; Stolper, 1982; Mysen and Virgo, 1986; McMillan and Remmel, 1986; Kohn et al., 1989], in situ studies of molten hydrous silicates confirm that water is present as molecular H2O (H2Omol) that reacts with the melt oxygens to form hydroxyl groups [Nowak and

LE LOSQ ET AL.  IN SITU STUDY OF HYDROUS SILICATE MELTS
In this work, experiments in an externally heated diamond anvil cell (HDAC) combined with in situ Raman spectroscopy were performed to probe the environment of protons in melts co-existing with aqueous fluids at pressure and temperature conditions relevant to the Earth’s interior. We have chosen to perform experiments using the eutectic quartz-wollastonite-anorthite composition because of its relatively low melting temperature under both anhydrous and hydrous conditions [Osborn and Muan, 1960; Boettcher, 1970] and of the importance of the CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system for geologic systems. To further assess the effects of melt composition, temperature, and pressure on the proton environment at high temperature and high pressure, another HDAC experimental series with a sodium tetrasilicate composition was carried out. The data acquired using the hydrous calcium aluminosilicate and sodium silicate compositions were then compared with Raman data acquired on the corresponding glasses. This allows an evaluation of the effect of quenching on ν(O-H) Raman signals.

2. Methods

In order to determine how the Raman signals assigned to O-H stretching from water dissolved in melts change with temperature, pressure, and melt composition, three starting compositions were studied. The first composition is a Ca aluminosilicate with the ambient-pressure quartz-wollastonite-anorthite eutectic composition (CA composition, abbreviated as CA-2 and CA-3 in the following sections as two batches of
glass were synthetized), the second a sodium silicate (Na$_2$Si$_4$O$_9$, denoted NS4), and the third a sodium aluminosilicate (NS3A5 from Dalou et al. [2015a]). Data for the NS3A5 composition are from Dalou et al. [2015a], in which D/H fractionation experiments were performed in a HDAC. We used their experimental series in which the bulk D/H ratio of the fluid is the lowest (0.04), so that the presence of a minor amount of D should not affect the ν(O-H) signals significantly. This assumption seems very reasonable when considering that, in addition to the very low D content in the experimental series, the ν(O-D) and ν(O-H) signals occur at ~2600 and ~3600 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, and are well distinct in the hydrous NS3A5 melt (e.g., see spectra in Dalou et al. [2015a]).

2.1. Starting Materials

Anhydrous glasses (sodium silicate NS4 and two batches of Ca aluminosilicate, CA-2 and CA-3) were synthesized from reagent-grade Na$_2$CO$_3$, CaCO$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$, and SiO$_2$ powders, previously dehydrated at 300, 500, 1100, and 1100°C, respectively. Starting powders were mixed for 1 h in an agate mortar then melted in a Pt crucible. The materials were heated to 1400°C at a rate of 1°C/min to ensure a slow decarbonation process. The melts were quenched to glass in air. After the initial melting, glasses were crushed for 1 h in an agate mortar and re-melted at 1400°C. This process was repeated three times in order to ensure homogeneous glass starting materials. Analysis of the chemical composition of these glasses was performed by energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS) on a JEOL field emission scanning electron microprobe equipped with an Oxford silicon drift detector. A 15 kV accelerating voltage and a 1.00 nA current were used. Measurements were performed over 25 × 25 μm areas. The data were calibrated with the following standards: cossyrite for Na, pyrope for O and Si, ENAL20 for Al, and diopside for Ca. Calibration was tested on a set of glass standards available at the Geophysical Laboratory. EDS results are within error of standards analyzed as unknowns. Anhydrous CA-2, CA-3, and NS4 glass compositions are reported in Table 1. Preparation and analysis of the Na aluminosilicate glass NS3A5 followed the same protocol [see Dalou et al., 2015a].

2.2. CA Glass Hydration Method

Hydrous NS3A5, CA, and NS4 glasses were synthesized by dissolving water in the melts at high temperature and high pressure with a piston-cylinder apparatus. Powdered glass was loaded into ~8 mm long by 3 mm diameter Pt capsules with double-distilled, deionized H$_2$O (from ~0.3 to ~1.6 μL depending on the desired H$_2$O concentration). The final amount of added water was determined by weighing the capsules. Loaded and sealed capsules were placed in 3/4 inch tapered diameter furnace assemblies [Kushiro, 1976], and held at the desired pressure and temperature for 120 min (Table 2). Temperature was measured with type S thermocouples with no correction for pressure on their emf, which may be as much as 10°C [Mao et al., 1971]. Pressure was calibrated against the melting point of NaCl and the calcite-aragonite transformation [Bohlen, 1984]. Estimated uncertainties are ~10°C and ~0.1 GPa, respectively. Final water contents of the glasses were checked with Raman spectroscopy, using the protocol of Le Losq et al. [2012].

2.3. Hydrothermal Diamond Anvil Cell Experiments

In situ experiments were performed in an externally heated diamond anvil cell (HDAC) [Bassett et al., 1994, 1996] using 1 mm diamond culets. Chips of anhydrous glasses and $^{13}$C diamonds (for pressure
Table 2. Details of the Hydrothermal Diamond Anvil Cell Experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>$v^{13}$C (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>P (MPa)</th>
<th>Phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1277.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1275.64</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>F + M + Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>1275.00</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>F + M + Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>1274.04</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>F + M + Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>1273.15</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>F + M + Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>1272.30</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>F + M + Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>1271.10</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>F + M + Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>1269.68</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>F + M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>1260.92</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>SCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>1258.34</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>1254.97</td>
<td>1210.0</td>
<td>F + M + W + An</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>1254.97</td>
<td>1210.0</td>
<td>F + M + W + An</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>920</td>
<td>1254.97</td>
<td>1210.0</td>
<td>F + M + W + An</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>1253.51</td>
<td>1210.0</td>
<td>F + M + W + An</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1253.51</td>
<td>1210.0</td>
<td>F + M + W + An</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1263.60</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1210</td>
<td>1262.92</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1217</td>
<td>1262.92</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1230</td>
<td>1262.92</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1237</td>
<td>1262.92</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1249</td>
<td>1262.92</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1261</td>
<td>1262.92</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1264</td>
<td>1262.92</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1279</td>
<td>1262.92</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1283</td>
<td>1262.92</td>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>F + M + W + G + An + u</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When possible, the phases were identified using signals in the 400–1300 cm$^{-1}$ portion of the Raman spectra. F: fluid, SCF: supercritical fluid, M: melt, Q: quartz, W: wollastonite, PW: pseudo-wollastonite, G: grossular, An: anorthite, u: unidentified mineral phase. Superscript u and d indicate the measurements during the ramp up (u) or ramp down (d) of the temperature during the experiments. See supporting information for details.

Pressure inside the cell is governed by the PVT relationship of the aqueous fluid so that at fixed sample volume, increasing temperature results in increasing pressure. Pressure was estimated using the pressure-/temperature-dependent one-phonon Raman shift of the synthetic $^{13}$C diamond, calibrated in the 0.1–1500 MPa and 25–800°C ranges by Mysen and Yamashita [2010]. Ne emission lines, recorded alongside the Raman spectra of the $^{13}$C diamond, were used as references to determine the exact Raman shift of the $^{13}$C diamond peak, resulting in ±0.1 cm$^{-1}$ uncertainty. This Raman shift uncertainty represents pressure precision of ±40 MPa. When accounting for uncertainty in the calibration of the Raman shift, the total pressure uncertainty in the experiments was ±110 MPa. Only the $^{13}$C Raman shift data from one of the two CA-2 experimental series in which melt formation was observed allowed pressure estimation (Table 2 and Figure 1) because the pressure-temperature path for the other was outside the calibration established by Mysen and Yamashita [2010]. Therefore, pressure is reported only for CA-2 run 2 (Table 2). During this experimental series, pressure first reached ~1400 MPa, but then dropped significantly after the heating step at 950°C (Table 2 and Figure 1). This pressure drop may have resulted from the diamonds indenting their tungsten carbide seats, as suggested by the fact that we observed that the diamonds were loose after the experiments. In any case, this event is reflected by the strong curvature of the pressure-temperature path of CA-2 run 2 (Figure 1) as all data points at $T < 850°C$ were acquired while ramping the temperature down from the 950°C step.

2.4. Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectra were recorded using a JASCO® NRS 3100 spectrometer, equipped with holographic gratings, a single monochromator, and a 1024 × 128 Andor DV401-F1 CCD Peltier-cooled detector operating at −70°C.
A 490 nm line of a coherent solid-state laser was used for sample excitation, with a power of \( \sim 33 \) mW on sample. The laser was focused on samples through a 50X Mitutoyo objective lens.

During HDAC experiments, Raman spectra of melt, fluid, and crystal phases were recorded using a 1200 grooves/mm grating. Raman spectra of \( ^{13}\text{C} \) diamonds, recorded with the \( N_e \) reference line for pressure estimates, were acquired using a 2400 grooves/mm grating to ensure the best spectral resolution. Alignment of the Raman spectrometer was tested against the 520.7 cm\(^{-1}\)/C\(_{0}\) Raman peak of pure Si. All spectra reported in this study are unpolarized.

In situ HDAC Raman spectra required a particular processing protocol after acquisition. In the 2700–4000 cm\(^{-1}\) range, which comprises the frequency region of second-order Raman signals from the diamonds in the diamond cell itself, backgrounds were subtracted using polynomial baseline functions implemented in the Spectra.jl library [Le Losq, 2016]. The baseline-corrected spectra were then normalized to their total area. In the 600–1300 cm\(^{-1}\) range (see Figure S1 in the supporting information), the spectra are affected by strong signals from the diamond vibration at \( \sim 1332 \) cm\(^{-1}\). To remove the diamond’s effect on the spectra, we followed a protocol similar to that used in Dalou et al. [2015a] to remove second-order diamond signals from D-O stretching signals. We acquired a Raman spectrum of the diamond anvil (diamond spectrum), pointing the laser on the gasket without changing its optical focus, immediately after acquisition of a sample Raman spectrum (sample spectrum). Using the visible diamond signals in the 1220–1270 and 1340–2499 cm\(^{-1}\) portions of the Raman spectra where no sample signals are expected, a least squares routine corrects the diamond and sample spectra for any wave number shift, and adjusts the diamond signal in the diamond spectrum to that in the sample spectrum. This allows obtaining diamond-subtracted Raman spectra. Their intensities are then corrected for temperature and excitation line effects using the equation from Long [1977] implemented in the Spectra.jl library. Finally, the intensities of the corrected spectra are normalized to the total integrated intensity measured between 600 and 1250 cm\(^{-1}\).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Observations During the HDAC Experiments

Silicate melts in equilibrium with aqueous fluids were observed in the experimental series with the NS4 composition and in two series with the CA-2 composition. Table 2 summarizes the phases observed and the pressure and temperature conditions of the experiments. Additional details about the CA-2 experiments are provided in the supporting information.

During the NS4 experimental series, the temperature was initially increased to 800°C (see pressure-temperature path in Figure 1 and Table 2). At such conditions, a single supercritical fluid was observed (Table 2). During cooling to 500°C, phase separation of a silicate melt and an aqueous fluid was observed at \( \sim 530°C \). Quartz crystals grew from this composition when cooling to 450°C. Between 500 and 200°C, the cell was cooled in \( \sim 50°C \) steps, and held for 1 h between steps (Table 2). In situ Raman spectroscopy measurements were acquired during those 1 h periods.

The first experimental series with the CA-2 composition was an exploratory run, in which the temperature was first increased up to 900°C at a rate of 1°/s (run 1 in Table 2). During this first heating ramp, the initial
glass fully crystallized. Melt appeared at ~870°C as small blobs between crystals. The melt proportion increased as the temperature was increased to 950°C. The sample was left at this temperature for ~2 h to ensure chemical and thermal equilibrium before any further pressure and temperature change. Additional observations were performed at 900, 850, 800, and 700°C. The sample was held at each temperature for at least 1 h. Complete crystallization of the melt was observed upon cooling to 625°C. Three crystalline phases (wollastonite, grossular, and anorthite) were distinguished with the aid of Raman spectroscopy between 900 and 625°C (see Text S1 and Figure S1 in the supporting information comparing melts and crystal spectra from the RRUFF database [Lafuente et al., 2015]). However, because of the small size of melt blobs, no Raman measurement of the O-H stretching signal from the melt phase was possible without contamination by the signal from adjoining fluid. Therefore, no Raman data for the O-H stretching signal in the melt phase are reported for the first experimental series with the CA-2 composition.

During the second experimental series with the CA-2 composition (run 2 in Table 2), the melt phase appeared at ~880°C during heating (880°HP in Table 2). The melt was in equilibrium with an aqueous phase and wollastonite crystals. As temperature was increased further, crystals disappeared at 940°C, such that only the melt and aqueous fluid phases remained at 950°C. Raman spectra were recorded at 880 and 950°C during heating, and then during cooling at 900, 850, 725, 500, and 250°C. The sample was held at each temperature for at least 1 h during cooling. Widespread crystallization of acicular pseudowollastonite occurred with decreasing temperature from 850 to 725°C. At 500 and 250°C, the Raman shift of the 13C peak was measured to constrain the pressure-temperature path, but the presence of melt could not be assessed due to the extensive amount of pseudowollastonite needles in the sample chamber.

3.2. O-H Raman Signals

In the 3000–4000 cm⁻¹ frequency range, asymmetric broad peaks with intensity maxima centered between ~3535 and ~3575 cm⁻¹ were observed in the Raman spectra of all melts and fluids (Figure 2). Those signals are assigned to ν(O-H) vibrations in the melts and fluids [Hibben, 1933, 1937; Scholze, 1960; Walrafen, 1975]. The ν(O-H) signals in the Raman spectra of the melts are more asymmetric than those in the Raman spectra of the fluids with which they were in equilibrium (Figure 2). This is particularly visible in the signals acquired during the second CA-2 experimental series. Indeed, for a given composition, the frequency and the half width at half maximum (HWHM) of the ν(O-H) peak are different between the melts and coexisting fluids (Figure 3). In general, the HWHMs of the ν(O-H) peaks in the fluid Raman spectra are lower than those in the melt Raman spectra. The ν(O-H) frequencies in the fluid Raman spectra are also higher than those in the melt Raman spectra in the NS3A5 and CA-2 experimental series, but the inverse relationship is observed for the NS4 experimental series.

The frequencies of the ν(O-H) peaks in the fluid and melt Raman spectra increase with increasing temperature (Figure 3a). The rate of this increase is not the same between the different experimental series. Indeed, while increasing temperature between 200 and 450°C results in large ν(O-H) frequency changes in the NS4 experimental series, increasing temperature between 500 and 700°C results in a much more moderate increase of the ν(O-H) frequency in the NS3A5 experimental series. A systematic trend is even more difficult to draw for the CA-2 experimental series. If we consider the melt point at 850°C as an outlier (this melt spectrum probably is affected by some fluid signal, as its ν(O-H) frequency and HWHM suggest; see Figure 3), a decrease of the ν(O-H) frequency in the melt Raman spectra is barely detectable. Some scatter of the points is also observed for the fluid Raman spectra.

Observation of the ν(O-H) peak HWHM corroborates the general effect of temperature on melt and fluid ν(O-H) signals (Figure 3b). A large, general decrease of the ν(O-H) peak HWHMs is observed at low temperature in the NS4 experimental series. The ν(O-H) peak HWHMs in spectra of fluids and melts are affected at the same rate. In the NS3A5 experimental series at T > 500°C, the fluid ν(O-H) peak HWHM changes much less than that of the melt. Finally, the fluid and melt ν(O-H) peak HWHMs in the CA-2 experimental series are nearly constant, considering again the melt point at 850°C as an outlier. We finally note that, in general, the differences between the fluid and melt ν(O-H) peak HWHMs and frequency are higher for the CA-2 experimental series than for the NS3A5 and NS4 experimental series.

The Raman shift centroids of the ν(O-H) fluid and melt signals can be calculated by integrating the Raman shifts as a function of their associated intensities. Variations of the centroids take into account both the
changes in frequency and HWHM of the peaks driven by changes in composition and temperature. The centroids of the \( \nu(O-H) \) Raman signals from fluids are systematically higher than those of the \( \nu(O-H) \) signals from melts (Figure 4). The centroids generally increase with increasing temperature for both melts and fluids (Figure 4), but at different rates. In the fluids, the \( \nu(O-H) \) signal centroid increases of \( \approx 20 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) below \( 350^\circ C \) in
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**Figure 2.** The 2800–3900 cm\(^{-1}\) portion of Raman spectra showing signals assigned to O-H stretching in the melts and fluids during successful experimental series with NS4 and CA-2 (run 2) compositions. The letters \( u \) and \( d \) in the parentheses after the temperatures indicate the measurements during the ramp up (\( u \)) or the ramp down (\( d \)) of the temperature during the experiments (see also Table 2). The small peak around 2910 cm\(^{-1}\) in the Raman spectra of the fluid in the NS4 experimental series is assigned to C-H stretching, its presence reflecting a low level of carbon impurities in the fluid during this experimental series. Processed spectra are provided in the supporting information.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** (a) Frequency at the intensity maximum and (b) half width at half maximum (HWHM) of the band assigned to O-H stretching in the different melts (filled symbols) and coexisting fluids (open symbols) as a function of temperature. The outlier melt CA-2 point at 850°C is probably due to noise in the Raman spectrum at this particular temperature (see Figure 2).
the NS4 experimental series, then level off at a value of ~3472 cm\(^{-1}\). In the NS3A5 experimental series, the centroids in the fluid Raman spectra are nearly constant at a value of ~3500 cm\(^{-1}\), and in the CA-2 experimental series scatter around a value of 3538 cm\(^{-1}\). In the latter case, such scatter probably originates from the scatter of the \(\nu(O-H)\) Raman shift in the CA-2 fluid Raman spectra (Figure 3a) and may be explained by the large changes in pressure that occurred in the second CA-2 experimental series (Figure 1). This effect seems to affect the fluid more than the melt. In all cases, the \(\nu(O-H)\) centroids in the melt Raman spectra are more affected by the temperature increase than those in the fluid Raman spectra. Indeed, the \(\nu(O-H)\) centroids in the NS4, NS3A5, and CA-2 melt Raman spectra describe a general increasing trend with increasing temperature (gray dashed line in Figure 4), which is not significantly affected by changes in melt composition and pressure between the different experimental series. Then, for temperatures ranging from 880 to 950°C, \(\nu(O-H)\) centroids in the CA-2 melt Raman spectra are constant at a value of 3502 ± 3 cm\(^{-1}\).

4. Discussion

4.1. Effects of Temperature on the Proton Environment in Hydrous Silicate Melts

Spectral changes as the system temperature changes observed in Figures 3 and 4 reflect changes in the bonding that involve protons as well as in the O-O distances around protons. Indeed, the O-H stretching frequency is influenced by several factors. At ambient temperature in hydrous crystals, O-O distances and hydrogen bond strength in O-H…O bonds (with ° a hydrogen bond) as well as O-H stretching frequencies are correlated [Nakamoto et al., 1955; Wall and Hornig, 1965; Novak, 1974; Libowitzky, 1999]. Data on glasses and minerals further show that the ionic field strength of the X cation along X-O-H bonds influence the O-H bond length and, hence, \(\nu(O-H)\) stretching frequency [Mysen and Virgo, 1986; Beckenkamp and Lutz, 1992; Libowitzky, 1999]. Therefore, the variations in \(\nu(O-H)\) signals in the Raman spectra of the melts and fluids originate from differences in hydrogen bonds extent and X-OH bonding. The former effect will be important only at temperatures below ~450°C because the formation of hydrogen bonds becomes ineffective at higher temperatures [Walrafen et al., 1986; Frantz et al., 1993; Holtz et al., 1996; Mysen, 2012].

At \(T < 450°C\), the large variations of the \(\nu(O-H)\) signals from the melts and fluids in the NS4 experimental series probably are related to the rupture of hydrogen bonds. An enthalpy of hydrogen bond rupture of 8.5 ± 3.0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) can be calculated from the NS4 melt data, a value in good agreement with the previous reports of ~10–11 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for hydrous melts in the Na\(_2\)O-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-SiO\(_2\) system [Mysen, 2013; Dalou et al., 2015b]. The absence of additional changes in the \(\nu(O-H)\) signals from the fluids in the NS4 or NS3A5 experimental series at \(T > 400°C\) (Figures 2–4) corroborates the fact that the occurrence of hydrogen bonds is not important at temperatures above 400–450°C in silicate-saturated fluids. This probably also is the case in the hydrous silicate melts, a statement corroborated by the data of Holtz et al. [1996]. Indeed, the latter have shown that the ratio between the \(\nu(O-H)\) signals and the silicate signal at ~800 cm\(^{-1}\) in hydrous SiO\(_2\) glasses first decrease strongly with increasing \(T\) between ~20°C and 400°C, and then level off with
further increases of $T$. In their experiments, no change of the speciation of the protons was observed, such that observed changes were assigned to rupture of hydrogen bonds. Their experiments thus support the statement that, as observed in fluids, hydrogen bonds exist up to $T \sim 450^\circ C$ in silicate glasses and melts, but are not an important bonding mechanism at higher temperatures.

As a result, at $T < \sim 450^\circ C$, the evolution of the $\nu(O-H)$ signals from the hydrous melts reflect both changes in the hydrogen bond framework and in the covalent bonding of protons between different $H_2O_{mol}$, $T-OH$, and $M-OH$ molecular complexes. At $T > \sim 450^\circ C$, only the changes in the covalent bonding of protons drives the changes in the proton environment and, hence, in the $\nu(O-H)$ signals. In particular, the increasing interconversion of $H_2O_{mol}$ in $OH^{-}/C_0$ groups with increasing temperature [Dingwell and Webb, 1990; Nowak and Behrens, 1995] probably explains the $\nu(O-H)$ centroid evolution in the melt Raman spectra. The relatively constant frequency and HWHM of the $\nu(O-H)$ peaks in the melt Raman spectra in the CA-2 experimental series between 880 and 950°C further reflect a high fraction of hydroxyl groups in the melt at such high temperatures. For instance, the model of Moretti et al. [2014] predicts that $OH^{-}$ groups should represent $\sim 70\%$ of water dissolved in the melt at such temperatures.

4.2. The Effects of Melt Composition and Pressure

The general trend between the $\nu(O-H)$ signal centroid data from melts and temperature (Figure 4) suggests that melt composition and pressure effects on $\nu(O-H)$ signals are small. Temperature seems to be the main parameter driving the evolution of the $\nu(O-H)$ signals through its large influence on hydrogen bonds and proton speciation. Such observation is corroborated by the comparison of the $\nu(O-H)$ Raman signals from different melts at similar conditions. For instance, the intensities of the O-H Raman signals from the CA-2 and NS3A5 melts differ by less than 1% at comparable pressure-temperature conditions (Figure 5a). Therefore, despite large differences in initial melt chemical composition (Table 1) and, in particular, in the ionic field strength of the metal cation in the melts ($Z/r^2$ Na$^+$ = 0.83 versus $Z/r^2$ Ca$^{2+}$ = 1.71 Å$^{-2}$, assuming CN = 6 with ionic radius $r$ from Whittaker and Muntus [1970]), the proton environment in the NS3A5 and CA-2 melts does not differ strongly at the high temperatures shown in Figure 5a ($725^\circ C$ and $680^\circ C$ for CA-2 and NS3A5, respectively). The $\nu(O-H)$ signals in the Raman spectra of the NS4 and NS3A5 melts also are comparable: they differ by only $\sim 3\%$, despite a factor of 2 in pressure difference between those experiments (Figure 5b). A slight asymmetry of the $\nu(O-H)$ peak in the NS3A5 melt spectrum is observed (signal intensities are above the NS4 melt spectrum near 3620 and 3200 cm$^{-1}$), whereas the $\nu(O-H)$ peak in the NS4 melt spectrum is more symmetric. This difference may arise from the presence of aluminum in the NS3A5 melt (compared to the Al-free NS4) or from the pressure difference (Figures 1 and 5). The present data do not allow us to discriminate between those factors. In all cases, in situ Raman spectra of the hydrous NS4, NS3A4, and CA-2 melts...
4.3. Melt Versus Glass: Quench Effect on Hydrogen Bonds

Two peaks at ~2350 and ~2700 cm\(^{-1}\) are visible in the Raman spectra of NS4 and NS3A5 glasses with 6 and 8 wt% water, respectively (Figure 5). The ν(O-H) Raman signals below 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) are not observed in the Raman spectra of the NS4 and NS3A5 melts (Figures 2 and 5), even at temperatures as low as 200°C in the NS4 experimental series (Figure 2). At that temperature, the NS4 melt actually should probably be considered a glass. Indeed, the quench of the NS4 melt to glass at ~300°C may explain the kinks observed in the ν(O-H) centroid versus temperature relationships (Figure 4). Such a glass transition temperature value is consistent with those reported for similar hydrous sodium tetrasilicate compositions [Behrens and Yamashita, 2008].

The ν(O-H) Raman signals below 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) indicate the presence of strong hydrogen bonds in the structure of the hydrous glasses [Novak, 1974; Uchino et al., 1991; Zotov and Keppler, 1998]. Therefore, the present data show that strong hydrogen bonds (significantly shortened O-H···O bond length) in the Na silicate and aluminosilicate glasses are only observed at \(T < 200°C\). Strong hydrogen bonds thus rupture upon the first steps of heating and do not exist at high temperatures in the hydrous melts. As indicated in section 4.1, only weak hydrogen bonds remain in the melts at temperatures up to ~450°C.

4.4. Hydrogen Bonds and Hydrous Glass Chemical Composition

Contrary to the observations in the Raman spectra of the melts, the ν(O-H) signals in the Raman spectra of the NS4, NS3A5, and CA-2 glasses with comparable amounts of water are quite different (Figure 5). In particular, the ν(O-H) signals at Raman shifts between 2300 and 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) are significantly more intense in the NS4 and NS3A5 glass Raman spectra than in that of the CA-2 glass. Slight differences in ν(O-H) signal intensity and frequency also are observed between the Raman spectra of the NS4 and NS3A5 glasses with 6 and 8 wt% water, respectively. Overall, the Raman spectra of the Na-bearing glasses present two peaks at ~2350 and ~2700 cm\(^{-1}\) that are absent in that of the CA glass (Figure 5). Therefore, in the chemical domain investigated in this study, compositional differences result in small differences in ν(O-H) signal shape in the Raman spectra of melts at comparable conditions but large differences in those of glasses. In particular, the presence and absence of strong hydrogen bonds in the Na- and Ca-bearing glasses, respectively, corroborate previous findings that indicated that decreasing the ionic field strength of the metal cation in hydrous glasses leads to the formation of strong hydrogen bonds [Mysen and Virgo, 1986; Kohn et al., 1989; Xue and Kanzaki, 2004; Le Losq et al., 2015a].

5. Implications

The speciation and bonding of protons in hydrous silicate glasses reflect those in the melts at their glass transition temperature \(T_g\) [Dingwell and Webb, 1990; Nowak and Behrens, 1995]. The covalent bonding of protons thus is fixed below \(T_g\) in the glassy state. On the other hand, the present data confirm that hydrogen bonds can form below \(T_g\) in the glassy state, through an unknown process that depends on the ionic field strength of metal cation in the glass. This affects ν(O-H) signals in glasses, which become inadequate to draw a picture of the hydrogen environment and partition function in the molten state near \(T_g\). This finding further motivates the need for additional in situ data regarding hydrous melts.

The present in situ Raman data confirm that temperature is the main variable driving changes in ν(O-H) stretching signals in hydrous Na silicate and Na and Ca aluminosilicate melts. Melt composition and
pressure effects are weaker than that of temperature. Temperature is thus the main driver of the hydrogen partition function in silicate melts. In addition, the system bulk composition (fluid + melt) affects the difference between the melt and fluid ν(O-H) signals and, hence, between their hydrogen partition functions, because of its effect on the solubility of the fluid and melt components in each other. As a result, the partitioning of hydrogen isotopes between magmas and aqueous fluids in fluid-saturated magmatic systems is predicted to vary as a function of system composition and temperature.
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